

# Existing Chemical Hazard Assessment Report



Australian Government  
Department of Health and Ageing  
NICNAS

## Di-C9-11-Alkyl Phthalate

June 2008

© Commonwealth of Australia 2008

This work is copyright. You may download, display, print and reproduce this material in unaltered form only (retaining this notice) for your personal, non-commercial use or use within your organisation. Apart from any use as permitted under the Copyright Act 1968, all other rights are reserved. Requests and inquiries concerning reproduction and rights should be addressed to Commonwealth Copyright Administration, Attorney General's Department, Robert Garran Offices, National Circuit, Barton ACT 2600 or posted at <http://www.ag.gov.au/cca>

# Preface

This report was compiled under the National Industrial Chemicals Notification and Assessment Scheme (NICNAS). This Scheme was established by the *Industrial Chemicals (Notification and Assessment) Act 1989* (Cwlth) (the Act), which came into operation on 17 July 1990.

The principal aim of NICNAS is to aid in the protection of people at work, the public and the environment from the harmful effects of industrial chemicals.

NICNAS assessments are carried out in conjunction with the Department of Environment and Heritage, which carry out the environmental assessment for NICNAS. NICNAS has two major programs: the assessment of the health and environmental effects of new industrial chemicals prior to importation or manufacture; and the other focussing on the assessment of chemicals already in use in Australia in response to specific concerns about their health/or environmental effects.

There is an established mechanism within NICNAS for prioritising and assessing the many thousands of existing chemicals in use in Australia.

For the purposes of Section 78(1) of the Act, copies of assessment reports for New and Existing Chemical assessments are freely available from the web ([www.nicnas.gov.au](http://www.nicnas.gov.au)). Summary Reports are published in the *Commonwealth Chemical Gazette* (<http://www.nicnas.gov.au/publications/#gazette>), and are available to the public on line at [www.nicnas.gov.au](http://www.nicnas.gov.au).

Copies of this report and other NICNAS reports are available on the NICNAS website. Hardcopies are available from NICNAS at the following address:

**GPO Box 58**  
**Sydney NSW 2001**  
**AUSTRALIA**  
**Attention: Office Manager**  
**Tel: +61 (02) 8577 8800**  
**Freecall: 1800 638 528**  
**Fax: +61 (02) 8577 8888**  
**Email: [info@nicnas.gov.au](mailto:info@nicnas.gov.au)**

Other information about NICNAS (also available on request) includes:

- NICNAS Annual Reports.
- NICNAS Service Charter.
- Brochure on NICNAS Registration.

More information on NICNAS can be found at the NICNAS web site:

<http://www.nicnas.gov.au>

# Overview

This review of Di-C9-11-alkyl phthalate (Di-C9-11 PE) is a health hazard assessment only. For this assessment, the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development, Screening Information Data Set (OECD SIDS) Initial Assessment Report on High Molecular Weight Phthalate Esters (HMWPE) was consulted. Information from this report was supplemented with literature surveys conducted up to September 2006.

Structurally, phthalate esters are characterized by a diester structure consisting of a benzenedicarboxylic acid head group linked to two ester side chains. Di-C9-11 PE belongs to the HMWPE group of phthalates and consists of a mixture of phthalates each with 2 branched and/or linear ester side chains of between 9 and 11 carbons (C9-11). According to the European Council for Plasticisers and Intermediates, estimated production of HMWPE is approximately 60-100 ktonnes per year in Europe. This is likely to represent about one third of world production.

HMWPE are used primarily as industrial chemicals associated with polymers, mainly as additives to impart flexibility in polyvinyl chloride (PVC) resins, but are also used as synthetic base stocks for lubricating oils. PVC-containing phthalate esters applications can include wire and cable insulation, furniture and automobile upholstery, flooring, wall coverings, coil coatings, pool liners, roofing membranes, and coated fabrics. Non-PVC polymer-containing phthalate ester applications include thermoplastics, rubbers and selected paints and adhesives.

A survey of Australian industry in 2004 and 2006 provided no information on this phthalate.

Toxicity data for Di-C9-11 PE were not available for all health endpoints. For endpoints with missing or incomplete data, information from structurally similar phthalates, where available, was used to extrapolate potential toxicity. Relevant read-across information was obtained from other NICNAS hazard assessment reports for phthalates and the NICNAS Phthalates Hazard Compendium, which contains a comparative analysis of toxicity endpoints across 24 ortho-phthalates, including Di-C9-11 PE.

Data were not available on the toxicokinetics of Di-C9-11 PE. However, studies on HMWPE indicate that they are rapidly absorbed and metabolised to the corresponding monoester in the gastrointestinal tract, and excreted primarily in the urine.

Di-C9-11 PE has low acute oral and intraperitoneal toxicity. No dermal or inhalation toxicity studies are available for Di-C9-11 PE. Based on data for other HMWPE, Di-C9-11 PE is expected to have low acute dermal and inhalation toxicity. Di-C9-11 PE did not cause skin or eye irritation or skin sensitisation in animals.

Di-C9-11 PE was negative in an Ames test. No in vitro mammalian mutation, cytogenetic and in vivo genotoxicity data are available. Based on the negative mutagenicity data for the HMWPE Category as a whole, including data on seven phthalates reviewed in the NICNAS Hazard Compendium, and other high molecular phthalates reviewed by Phthalate Esters Panel HPV Testing Group and OECD, Di-C9-11 PE is unlikely to be genotoxic.

The primary findings of Di-C9-11 PE in repeated dose studies in rats were effects on the liver. The repeat dose oral NOAEL in rats was 500 mg/kg bw/d, and a LOAEL 1000 mg/kg bw/d based on liver changes (weight increases and histology).

No carcinogenicity data were available for Di-C9-11 PE. Due to insufficient testing on other phthalates, it is not possible to extrapolate carcinogenic potential for Di-C9-11 PE.

A multi-generation reproductive toxicity study in rats with Di-C9-11 PE showed no significant reproductive toxicity at doses up to 1000 mg/kg bw/d. In addition, none of the other studies of HMWPE (except diisononyl phthalate, DINP) reviewed by NICNAS revealed effects on fertility or other aspects of the male reproductive system. In this respect, Di-C9-11 PE may be similarly considered not to show significant effects on fertility.

Data from the developmental toxicity tests on Di-C9-11 PE showed no maternal toxicity at doses up to 1000 mg/kg bw/d in rats. The developmental NOAEL was 250 mg/kg bw/d, with a LOAEL based on minor skeletal variations (increased supernumerary lumbar ribs) at 500 mg/kg bw/d. Similarly, increased frequencies of skeletal variations, common variations seen in developmental studies, were observed following gestational exposure to some high molecular weight phthalates at high doses. Therefore, exposure to Di-C9-11 PE may have similar slight adverse developmental effects at high doses.

# Table of Contents

PREFACE	iii
OVERVIEW	iv
ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS	vii
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. IDENTITY	2
2.1 Identification of the substance	2
2.2 Physicochemical properties	2
3. USES	3
4. HUMAN HEALTH HAZARD	4
4.1 Toxicokinetics	4
4.2 Acute toxicity	4
4.3 Irritation	4
4.3.1 Skin irritation	4
4.3.2 Eye irritation	5
4.3.3 Respiratory irritation	5
4.4 Sensitisation	5
4.5 Repeated dose toxicity	6
4.6 Genetic toxicity	7
4.7 Carcinogenicity	7
4.8 Reproductive toxicity	7
4.8.1 Two-generation reproductive toxicity studies	8
4.8.2 Developmental toxicity studies	8
5. HAZARD CHARACTERISATION	10
6. HUMAN HEALTH HAZARD SUMMARY TABLE	13
REFERENCES	15

# Acronyms and Abbreviations

bw	body weight
C	Celsius
CAS	Chemical Abstracts Service
d	day
Di-C9-11 PE	Di-C9-11-alkyl phthalate
DINP	diisononyl phthalate
f	female
F0	parental generation
F1	filial 1 (first generation)
F2	filial 2 (second generation)
g	gram
GD	gestation day
h	hour
HMWPE	High Molecular Weight Phthalate Esters
ip	intraperitoneal
kg	kilogram
kPa	kilopascals
L	litre
LD50	median lethal dose
LOAEL	lowest-observed-adverse-effect level
m	male
mg	milligram
mL	millilitre
NICNAS	National Industrial Chemicals Notification and Assessment Scheme
NOAEL	no-observed-adverse-effect level
OECD	Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development
ppm	parts per million
PVC	polyvinyl chloride
SIDS	Screening Information Data Set
w/w	weight per weight
μ	micro



# 1. Introduction

This review of Di-C9-11-alkyl phthalate (Di-C9-11 PE) is a health hazard assessment only. For this assessment, the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development, Screening Information Data Set (OECD SIDS) Initial Assessment Report on High Molecular Weight Phthalate Esters (HMWPE) (OECD, 2004) was consulted. Information from this report was supplemented with literature surveys conducted up to September 2006.

Information on Australian uses was compiled from data supplied by industry in 2004 and 2006.


References not marked with an asterisk were examined for the purposes of this assessment. References not examined but quoted from the key report as secondary citations are also noted in this assessment and marked with an asterisk.

Hazard information from this assessment is published also in the form of a hazard compendium providing a comparative analysis of key toxicity endpoints for 24 *ortho*-phthalates (NICNAS, 2008).

## 2. Identity

### 2.1 Identification of the substance

CAS Number:	68515-43-5
Chemical Name:	1,2-Benzenedicarboxylic acid, di-C9-11-branched and linear alkyl esters
Common Name:	Di-C9-11-alkyl phthalate (Di-C9-11 PE)
Molecular Formula:	C <sub>28</sub> H <sub>46</sub> O <sub>4</sub>
Structural Formula:	



R = C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>19</sub> to C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>23</sub> (branched and linear) [>80% linear]

Molecular Weight:	446.7 (based on a di-C10 phthalate ester)
Synonyms:	Di-C9-11 branched and linear alkyl ester
Purity/Impurities/Additives:	Purity: >99.5% w/w Impurity: 0.1-0.2% w/w anti oxidant Additives: none

### 2.2 Physicochemical properties

**Table 1: Summary of physicochemical properties**

Property	Value
Physical state	Colourless liquid
Melting point	-48°C – -9°C
Boiling point	454°C – 501°C (101.3 kPa)
Density	960 kg/m <sup>3</sup>
Vapour pressure	(4.97 – 68.10) x 10 <sup>-10</sup> kPa (25°C)
Water solubility	(<1.70 – 6.10) x 10 <sup>-7</sup> g/L
Partition coefficient n-octanol/water (log K <sub>ow</sub> )	8.6 – 10.3
Henry's law constant	Not available
Flash point	Not available

Source: OECD (2004)

### 3. Uses

Di-C9-11 PE belongs to a group of phthalates consisting of esters with alkyl carbon backbone of  $\geq 7$  (High Molecular Weight Phthalate Esters, HMWPE) (OECD, 2004). According to the European Council for Plasticisers and Intermediates, estimated production of HMWPE is approximately 60-100 ktonnes per year in Europe. This is likely to represent about one third of world production.

HMWPE are used primarily as industrial chemicals associated with polymers, mainly as additives to impart flexibility in polyvinyl chloride (PVC) resins, but are also used as synthetic base stocks for lubricating oils. Polymer applications can be divided into PVC-related uses and uses involving other non-PVC polymers. PVC-containing phthalate esters applications can include wire and cable insulation, furniture and automobile upholstery, flooring, wall coverings, coil coatings, pool liners, roofing membranes, and coated fabrics. Polymer-containing phthalate ester applications that are non-PVC based include thermoplastics, rubbers and selected paints and adhesives.

No information on use in Australia was available. A survey of Australian industry in 2004 and 2006 provided no information on this phthalate.

## 4. Human Health Hazard

### 4.1 Toxicokinetics

#### Previous evaluations

No data.

#### Data not reported in previous evaluations

No data.

#### Conclusion

No toxicokinetic studies were available for assessment.

### 4.2 Acute toxicity

#### Previous evaluations

In oral toxicity studies conducted on Di-C9-11 PE, no deaths occurred at doses up to 19700 mg/kg bw, no significant signs of toxicity were noted, and necropsy findings were normal at the end of 14-day observation period. LD50s for the Di-C9-11 PE were:

- >6200 mg/kg bw in rats (BASF AG, 1971\*)
- >19700 mg/kg bw in rats and mice (Brown et al., 1970\*)

In an intraperitoneal (ip) toxicity study conducted in mice with Di-C9-11 PE, an LD50 > 6200 mg/kg bw was reported (BASF AG, 1971\*).

#### Data not reported in previous evaluations

No data.

#### Conclusion

Di-C9-11 PE has low acute oral and intraperitoneal toxicity in laboratory animals. No acute toxicity data from inhalation or dermal exposure or human studies were available for Di-C9-11 PE.

### 4.3 Irritation

#### 4.3.1 Skin irritation

##### Previous evaluations

In two skin irritation tests, Di-C9-11 PE was not irritating to rabbit skin (Brown et al., 1970\*; BASF AG, 1971\*).

### **Data not reported in previous evaluations**

No data.

### **Conclusion**

Di-C9-11 PE did not cause skin irritation in rabbits.

## **4.3.2 Eye irritation**

### **Previous evaluations**

In two eye irritation tests, Di-C9-11 PE was not irritating to rabbit eyes (Brown et al., 1970\*; BASF AG, 1971\*).

### **Data not reported in previous evaluations**

No data.

### **Conclusion**

Di-C9-11 PE did not cause eye irritation in rabbits.

## **4.3.3 Respiratory irritation**

### **Previous evaluations**

No data.

### **Data not reported in previous evaluations**

No data.

### **Conclusion**

No respiratory irritation studies were available for assessment.

## **4.4 Sensitisation**

### **Previous evaluations**

In a Maximization test, no skin sensitisation was observed in guinea pigs treated with Di-C9-11 PE (Brown et al., 1970\*).

### **Data not reported in previous evaluations**

No data.

### **Conclusion**

Di-C9-11 PE did not induce skin sensitisation in guinea pigs.

## 4.5 Repeated dose toxicity

### Previous evaluations

#### *Oral*

In a 7-day gavage study, rats (8/sex) were given Di-C9-11 PE at a dose of 5 mL/kg bw/d (4976 mg/kg bw/d). A control group consisted of 20 rats/sex. The day after the final dose the animals were killed and autopsied. No deaths and no overt signs of toxicity other than a general depression were reported. Histological examination of sections of the major organ tissues revealed periportal cytoplasmic vacuolation in the livers of some rats due to fat deposition (Brown et al., 1970\*).

The repeat dose toxicity of Di-C9-11 PE was assessed as part of a multi-generation reproductive study in Sprague-Dawley rats. Parent animals (28 rats/sex) were given test compounds in diet at dose of 0, 0.1, 0.5, or 1.0% (approx. 0, 100, 500, 1000 mg/kg bw/d) for 10 weeks prior to mating. The 1.0% males showed reduced body weights in both the F0 and F1 generations. The results showed the liver to be the target organ. Liver changes indicative of peroxisome proliferation were noted in both generations and both sexes at the high dose (1%), characterized by increased liver weight in young rats, histopathological changes and decreased body weights in mature rats, and an increase in palmitoyl CoA oxidase activity. A NOAEL of 0.5% (500 mg/kg bw/d) and a LOAEL of 1000 mg/kg bw/d were established for systemic toxicity, based on the toxic effects to the liver (weight and histology) (Willoughby et al., 2000). The reproductive effects are discussed in Section 4.8.

The repeat dose toxicity of Di-C9-11 PE was further assessed as part of a developmental study in Sprague-Dawley rats (Fulcher et al., 2001). Groups of 22 timed-mated rats were given 0, 250, 500, or 1000 mg/kg bw/d Di-C9-11 PE daily by oral gavage (5 mL/kg) between gestation days 1 and 19. Control animals received the vehicle alone. Treatment did not result in any signs of maternal toxicity, and had no statistically significant effects upon litter size, foetal survival or bodyweight. The NOAEL was 1000 mg/kg bw/d (the highest dose tested). The developmental effects are discussed in Section 4.8.

#### *Dermal*

Three-week dermal toxicity/irritation studies have been conducted using mixtures containing Di-C9-11 and Di-C7-9 PEs (Brown et al., 1970\*). Testing was conducted using rabbits and guinea pigs. One mL undiluted substance was applied to non-occluded, shaved skin of rabbits for 5 days/week for 3 weeks. The skin was assessed daily for gross damage and was examined histopathologically at the end of the studies. No signs of toxicity were seen. Guinea pigs were treated similarly, but the daily dose was 0.5 mL of neat substance. Application of the mixtures to the guinea pigs produced coarse, slightly thickened skin with some apparent sloughing of the surface layers. No overt signs of toxicity were reported for either rabbits or guinea pigs. No other details of the studies were available.

### Data not reported in previous evaluations

No data.

## **Conclusion**

In rats, the liver was the main target organ with peroxisome proliferation noted in both generations and both sexes, increased liver weight in young rats, histopathological changes and decreased body weights in mature rats, and an increase in palmitoyl CoA oxidase activity. The repeated dose oral NOAEL in rats was 500 mg/kg bw/d, and a LOAEL 1000 mg/kg bw/d based on the toxic effects to the liver (weight and histology).

Di-C9-11 PE and Di-C7-9 PE mixtures did not exhibit any overt toxicity when applied dermally to rabbits and guinea pigs.

## **4.6 Genetic toxicity**

### **Previous evaluations**

Di-C9-11 PE was non-mutagenic with and without metabolic activation in the Ames test using *S. typhimurium* strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, and TA1537 at concentrations of 20-5000 µg/plate (BASF AG, 1989\*).

### **Data not reported in previous evaluations**

No data.

### **Conclusion**

Di-C9-11 PE was negative in bacterial mutation assay. No in vitro mammalian mutation, cytogenetic and in vivo genotoxicity data are available for Di-C9-11 PE.

## **4.7 Carcinogenicity**

### **Previous evaluations**

No data.

### **Data not reported in previous evaluations**

No data.

### **Conclusion**

No carcinogenicity studies were available for assessment.

## **4.8 Reproductive toxicity**

Traditional hazard assessments consider effects on fertility separate from developmental toxicity. Fertility is tested by exposing sexually mature adults to a chemical and examining the effects on reproductive capacity. Developmental toxicity is studied by exposing pregnant dams and looking for effects in the foetuses. Chemicals that affect the developing reproductive system following prenatal exposure may also affect sexual maturation or functional reproductive disorders that are only apparent at maturity. Developmental toxicity can therefore lead to effects on fertility and the two endpoints cannot be clearly distinguished.

In this hazard assessment, data are presented on the basis of test procedure. Test procedures include 2-generation studies, prenatal developmental toxicity studies (only the dam is dosed, study ends before parturition) and postnatal developmental toxicity studies (dam is dosed during gestation and allowed to litter, study ends during weaning). The effects on fertility (as adults) and development (as foetuses) are then discussed separately.

#### **4.8.1 Two-generation reproductive toxicity studies**

##### **Previous evaluations**

Di-C9-11 PE was tested in a two-generation reproductive toxicity study using Sprague-Dawley rats. The test substance was administered daily over 2 generations to 28 animals/sex from 10 weeks prior to mating in F0 generation at dietary levels of 0, 0.1, 0.5, and 1.0% (0, 100, 500, 1000 mg/kg bw/d) (Willoughby et al., 2000). Systemic toxicity was observed at 1000 mg/kg bw/d (liver changes, decreased body weight). Weight gain was decreased in the first week at all doses in F0 but only in the two highest doses in the F1. Body weight was also reduced through lactation in the high dose group. Liver changes indicative of peroxisome proliferation were noted in both generations and both sexes at the high dose (1.0 %), characterised by increased liver weight in young rats, histopathological changes and decreased weights in mature rats, and an increase in palmitoyl CoA oxidase activity. Relative (not absolute) testes weight was increased in both generations in the 1% group and testicular sperm count was increased in the F0 generation. Relative epididymal weights were decreased in the 1.0% group for F0 (absolute epididymal weights were reduced for both generations), although sperm concentration, motility, and morphology were not affected. There was no impairment of fertility, fecundity, or development in either generation. There was a statistically significant dose-related decrease in male and female F2 (but not F1) pup body weights in the 1.0% group over the weaning period. However, there was no difference in pup weight at birth suggesting that these effects may be due to lactational exposure to Di-C9-11 PE. There was no effect on preputial separation or vaginal opening in the F1 pups. The NOAEL for effects on reproduction was established at 1% (1000 mg/kg bw/d), the highest dose tested and 0.5% (500 mg/kg bw/d) for systemic toxicity based on liver changes. The NOAEL for effects on development was 0.5% (500 mg/kg bw/d) and the LOAEL was 1.0% based on decreased pup weight during weaning.

#### **4.8.2 Developmental toxicity studies**

##### **Previous evaluations**

In an OECD standard developmental toxicity study, Di-C9-11 PE was administered daily by oral gavage to mated female Sprague-Dawley rats (22/group) at doses of 0 (olive oil), 250, 500, and 1000 mg/kg bw/d from gestation day (GD) 1 through GD 19. On GD20, the animals were sacrificed and the foetuses were examined (Fulcher et al., 2001). There were no statistically significant differences in body weight, fertility, reproductive organs, litter size, placental weights or foetal survival between any treatment and control groups at any time during gestation. There were no remarkable macroscopic findings in the maternal animals at necropsy. There were no effects on the incidence of external or visceral abnormalities. An increased incidence of dilated renal pelvis in pups was observed at 1000 mg/kg bw/d with a dose-related trend ( $P < 0.01$ ). Pups of the mid and high dose groups ( $\geq 500$  mg/kg bw/d) also

showed increased incidences of supernumerary lumbar ribs (26.7% at high dose compared with 13.6% in controls). The trend was dose-related. The frequency of supernumerary ribs was outside historical controls for the laboratory but within historical range for the strain of rat. Under the conditions of this study, Di-C9-11 PE did not induce maternal toxicity, embryo-foetal lethality or teratogenicity. The NOAEL for maternal toxicity was 1000 mg/kg bw/d and for developmental toxicity was 250 mg/kg bw/d. The LOAEL for developmental toxicity was 500 mg/kg bw/d based on minor skeletal variations.

## **Conclusion**

### ***Effects on fertility***

The two-generation reproductive toxicity study in rats with Di-C9-11 PE showed no significant reproductive toxicity at doses up to 1000 mg/kg bw/d. Effects included transiently decreased body weights and slightly decreased epididymis weight.

### ***Effects on development***

Data from the developmental toxicity tests on Di-C9-11 PE showed no maternal toxicity, at doses up to 1000 mg/kg bw/d in rats. Although no teratogenic effects were seen, increased frequencies of developmental variants including dilated renal pelvis and supernumerary lumbar ribs were produced at and above 500 mg/kg bw/d but they are common findings in rats and thus their toxicological significance is unclear. In the two-generation study, there was a dose-related decrease in male and female F2 (but not F1) pup body weights in the 1.0% group over the weaning period. However, there was no difference in pup weight at birth suggesting that these effects may be due to lactational exposure to DiC9-11 PE.

## 5. Hazard Characterisation

Toxicity data for Di-C9-11 PE were not available for all health endpoints. For endpoints with missing or incomplete data, information from structurally similar phthalates, where available, was used to extrapolate potential toxicity. Relevant read-across information was obtained from other NICNAS assessment reports for relevant phthalates and the NICNAS Phthalates Hazard Compendium (NICNAS, 2008), which contains a comparative analysis of toxicity endpoints across 24 *ortho*-phthalates, including Di-C9-11 PE.

Di-C9-11 PE is a member of the High Molecular Weight Phthalate Esters (HMWPE) Category as defined by the American Chemistry Council Phthalate Esters Panel HPV Testing Group (2001) and OECD (2004). The HMWPE group includes chemically similar substances produced from alcohols having backbone carbon lengths of  $\geq 7$ . Due to their similar chemical structure, category members are generally similar with respect to physicochemical, biological and toxicological properties or display an expected trend. Thus, read-across for toxicity endpoints is an appropriate approach to characterise selected endpoints for members of this category.

Data are not available on the toxicokinetics of Di-C9-11 PE. However, studies on HMWPE indicate that they are rapidly absorbed and metabolised to the corresponding monoester in the gastrointestinal tract, and excreted primarily in the urine.

Di-C9-11 PE has low acute oral and intraperitoneal toxicity. No dermal or inhalation toxicity studies are available for Di-C9-11 PE. Based on data for other HMWPE, Di-C9-11 PE is expected to have low acute dermal and inhalation toxicity (NICNAS, 2008). Di-C9-11 PE did not cause skin or eye irritation or skin sensitisation in animals.

Di-C9-11 PE was negative in Ames test. No in vitro mammalian mutation, cytogenetic and in vivo genotoxicity data are available for Di-C9-11 PE. However, based on the negative mutagenicity data for the HMWPE Category as a whole, including data on the seven phthalates reviewed in the NICNAS Phthalate Hazard Compendium (NICNAS, 2008) and other high molecular weight phthalates reviewed by Phthalate Esters Panel HPV Testing Group (2001) and OECD (2004), there is a low likelihood that Di-C9-11 PE is genotoxic.

The primary findings of Di-C9-11 PE in the repeated dose studies in rats were in the liver. Effects of Di-C9-11 PE on the liver included increased palmitoyl PCoA, liver weights, and liver hypertrophy that are indicative of peroxisome proliferation. The repeat dose oral NOAEL in rats was 500 mg/kg bw/d, and a LOAEL 1000 mg/kg bw/d based on the toxic effects to the liver (weight and histology).

No carcinogenicity data are available for Di-C9-11 PE. Due to insufficient testing on other phthalates, it is not possible to extrapolate carcinogenic potential for Di-C9-11 PE.

A multi-generation reproductive toxicity study in rats with Di-C9-11 PE showed no significant reproductive toxicity at doses up to 1000 mg/kg bw/d. Effects included transiently decreased body weights, slightly decreased epididymidal weights, and decreased male and female pup body weights over the weaning period. In addition, none of the other studies of high molecular weight phthalates (except diisononyl phthalate, DINP) reviewed by NICNAS revealed effects on fertility or other aspects of the male reproductive system (NICNAS, 2008). In this respect, Di-C9-11 PE may be similarly considered not to show significant effects on fertility.

Data from the developmental toxicity tests on Di-C9-11 PE showed no maternal toxicity at doses up to 1000 mg/kg bw/d in rats. The developmental NOAEL was 250 mg/kg bw/d, with a LOAEL based on minor skeletal variations (increased supernumerary lumbar ribs) at 500 mg/kg bw/d. Similarly, increased frequencies of skeletal variations, common variations seen in developmental studies, were observed following gestational exposure to some high molecular weight phthalates at high doses (NICNAS, 2008). Therefore, exposure to Di-C9-11 PE may have similar slight adverse developmental effects at high doses.

Page intentionally blank for double-sided printing.

## 6. Human Health Hazard Summary Table

Phthalate	Acute Toxicity	Irritation & Sensitisation	Repeated Dose Toxicity	Genetic Toxicity	Carcinogenicity	Fertility	Developmental Toxicity
Di-C9-11-alkyl phthalate (Di-C9-11 PE)	<p>Oral Rat: LD50 &gt;6200 – 19700 mg/kg bw</p> <p>Dermal: No data</p> <p>Inhalation: No data</p>	<p>Skin irritation: Negative</p> <p>Eye irritation: Negative</p> <p>Respiratory irritation: No data</p> <p>Skin sensitisation: Negative</p>	<p>Rat: NOAEL = 500 mg/kg bw/d, LOAEL (2-gen. repro study) = 1000 mg/kg bw/d, ↑ liver weight in young rats, liver histopathological changes and ↓ body weights in mature rats, ↑ in palmitoyl CoA oxidase activity.</p>	<p>In vitro: Negative in bacterial mutation</p> <p>In vivo: No data</p>	No data	<p>Rat: NOAEL = 1000 mg/kg bw/d LOAEL: not established</p>	<p>Rat: NOAEL = 250 mg/kg bw/d LOAEL = 500 mg/kg bw/d, ↑ skeletal variations (lumbar ribs)</p>

↑: increase; ↓: decrease

Page intentionally blank for double-sided printing.

# References

- BASF AG (1971) Abteilung Toxikologie Untersuchung (XXI/17), 23.03.1971. Unpublished report.
- BASF AG (1989) Abteilung Toxikologie Untersuchung (88/576), 24.02.1989. Unpublished report.
- Brown V, Muir C, & Thorpe E (1970) A contribution to the toxicology of some alcohol mixtures containing 7 to 9 and 9 to 11 carbon atoms and the corresponding phthalate esters. *Arch Toxikol*, 26: 84-90.
- Fulcher S, Willoughby C, Heath J, Veenstra G, & Moore N (2001) Developmental toxicity of di(C7-C9-alkyl) phthalate and di(C9-C11-alkyl) phthalate in the rat. *Reprod Toxicol*, 15: 95-102.
- NICNAS (2008) Phthalate hazard compendium: a summary of physicochemical and human health hazard data for 24 *ortho*-phthalate chemicals. Sydney, National Industrial Chemicals Notification and Assessment Scheme.
- OECD (2004) SIDS Initial Assessment Report for SIAM 19: Category – High Molecular Weight Phthalate Esters. Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development, Berlin, Germany, 19-22 October 2004.
- Phthalate Esters Panel HPV Testing Group (2001) High production volume (HPV) chemical challenge programme test plan for the phthalate esters category. December 10, 2001. Prepared by: ExxonMobil Biomedical Sciences, Inc. for the Phthalate Esters Panel HPV Testing Group of the American Chemistry Council.  
<http://www.epa.gov/hpv/pubs/summaries/benzene/c13467tc.htm> (Accessed 2007)
- Willoughby C, Fulcher S, Creasy D, Heath J, Priston R, & Moore N (2000) Two-generation reproduction toxicity studies of di(C7-C9-alkyl) phthalate and di(C9-C11-alkyl) phthalate in the rat. *Reprod Toxicol*, 14: 427-450.