

# Existing Chemical Hazard Assessment Report



Australian Government  
Department of Health and Ageing  
NICNAS

## Diallyl Phthalate

June 2008

© Commonwealth of Australia 2008

This work is copyright. You may download, display, print and reproduce this material in unaltered form only (retaining this notice) for your personal, non-commercial use or use within your organisation. Apart from any use as permitted under the Copyright Act 1968, all other rights are reserved. Requests and inquiries concerning reproduction and rights should be addressed to Commonwealth Copyright Administration, Attorney General's Department, Robert Garran Offices, National Circuit, Barton ACT 2600 or posted at <http://www.ag.gov.au/cca>

# Preface

This report was compiled by the National Industrial Chemicals Notification and Assessment Scheme (NICNAS). This Scheme was established by the *Industrial Chemicals (Notification and Assessment) Act 1989* (Cwlth) (the Act), which came into operation on 17 July 1990.

The principal aim of NICNAS is to aid in the protection of people at work, the public and the environment from the harmful effects of industrial chemicals.

NICNAS assessments are carried out in conjunction with the Department of Environment and Heritage, which carry out the environmental assessment for NICNAS. NICNAS has two major programs: the assessment of the health and environmental effects of new industrial chemicals prior to importation or manufacture; and the other focussing on the assessment of chemicals already in use in Australia in response to specific concerns about their health/or environmental effects.

There is an established mechanism within NICNAS for prioritising and assessing the many thousands of existing chemicals in use in Australia.

For the purposes of Section 78(1) of the Act, copies of assessment reports for New and Existing Chemical assessments are freely available from the web ([www.nicnas.gov.au](http://www.nicnas.gov.au)). Summary Reports are published in the *Commonwealth Chemical Gazette* (<http://www.nicnas.gov.au/publications/#gazette>), and are available to the public on line at [www.nicnas.gov.au](http://www.nicnas.gov.au).

Copies of this report and other NICNAS reports are available on the NICNAS website. Hardcopies are available from NICNAS at the following address:

**GPO Box 58**  
**Sydney NSW 2001**  
**AUSTRALIA**  
**Attention: Office Manager**  
**Tel: +61 (02) 8577 8800**  
**Freecall: 1800 638 528**  
**Fax: +61 (02) 8577 8888**  
**Email: [info@nicnas.gov.au](mailto:info@nicnas.gov.au)**

Other information about NICNAS (also available on request) includes:

NICNAS Annual Reports.

NICNAS Service Charter.

Brochure on NICNAS Registration.

More information on NICNAS can be found at the NICNAS web site:  
<http://www.nicnas.gov.au>

# Overview

This review of diallyl phthalate (DAP) is a health hazard assessment only. For this assessment, the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development, Screening Information Data Set (OECD SIDS), Initial Assessment Report on DAP was consulted and this information was supplemented with relevant studies from more recent literature surveys conducted up to September 2006.

Production of DAP during 2002 was estimated at 4400 tonnes worldwide. Approximately half of the DAP produced is used as a monomer to form DAP prepolymer (i.e. semi polymerised polymers). DAP prepolymer is used for impregnated paper-decorated particleboard for wall materials or furniture, grindstones, coil bobbins, hot stamping foils and printing with UV-curable ink. DAP is also used as a cross-linking agent in the manufacture of other polymers, such as polyvinyl chloride and unsaturated polyesters.

In Australia, DAP is imported for use as a primary plasticiser for electrical insulation materials, flexible fibreglass topcoat laminates, adhesives and injection moulding materials. DAP is distributed also to various institutions and laboratories for analytical, pharmaceutical and biotechnological research.

Structurally, phthalate esters are characterized by a diester structure consisting of a benzenedicarboxylic acid head group linked to two ester side chains. DAP possesses 2 linear ester side chains each of three carbons and containing a single double bond.

In rats and mice, DAP is rapidly absorbed and eliminated following ingestion, with the majority (50%-90%) of gavage doses up to 100 mg/kg bw excreted in urine within 24 hours. Intravenous DAP is rapidly cleared from the blood and does not accumulate in tissues.

DAP exhibits low acute dermal and inhalation toxicity, with low to moderate acute oral toxicity in rodents. DAP did not produce either eye or skin irritation in rabbits, but for skin sensitisation produced a positive response in a single mouse local lymph node assay.

In repeat dose studies (13-week and 103-week) in rats, the liver was the primary target organ, with necrosis, fibrosis, hepatocellular hypertrophy and hyperplasia seen in bile duct and periportal regions. Alterations were also seen in kidney and intestines in the 13-week study. These effects in rats were not seen in studies of similar dose and duration in mice. A repeated dose oral NOAEL of 50 mg/kg bw/d was determined for female rats in both sub-chronic and chronic studies. No repeat dose dermal or inhalation studies were available for assessment.

A number of studies were available on the mutagenic potential of DAP in vitro and in vivo. Overall, DAP was weakly mutagenic in vitro and generally negative in vivo. It was not possible to draw conclusions on the genotoxic potential of DAP.

In chronic studies (103 weeks) in both mice and rats, evidence of DAP induced mononuclear cell leukaemia was seen in female rats, but this neoplasm was considered unlikely to be relevant to humans. In mice, chronic inflammation, hyperplasia and papillomas of the forestomach were considered related to DAP treatment but data were insufficient to indicate a clear cause and effect and this effect was also regarded anatomically as not relevant to humans. A maximally tolerated dose (MTD) for the purposes of carcinogenicity testing also might not have been achieved. Overall, it was considered that the tumour types seen in animal studies were of limited significance to humans.

In a single, combined reproduction/developmental toxicity gavage screening test in rats, no treatment-related effects on standard reproductive parameters were noted. The NOAEL for reproductive toxicity was 50 mg/kg bw/d. The NOAEL for maternal toxicity was also 50 mg/kg bw/d.

# Table of Contents

PREFACE	iii
OVERVIEW	iv
ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS	vii
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. IDENTITY	2
2.1 Identification of the substance	2
2.2 Physico-chemical properties	2
3. USES	3
4. HUMAN HEALTH HAZARD	4
4.1 Toxicokinetics	4
4.2 Acute toxicity	4
4.3 Irritation	5
4.3.1 Skin irritation	5
4.3.2 Eye irritation	5
4.4 Sensitisation	5
4.5 Repeated dose toxicity	6
4.6 Genetic toxicity	7
4.7 Carcinogenicity	9
4.8 Reproductive toxicity	10
4.8.1 Mode of action	10
5. HAZARD CHARACTERISATION	12
6. HUMAN HEALTH HAZARD SUMMARY TABLE	15
REFERENCES	17

# Acronyms and Abbreviations

bw	body weight
C	Celsius
CHL/IU cells	Chinese hamster lung cells
CHO	Chinese hamster ovary
CO <sub>2</sub>	carbon dioxide
d	day
DAP	diallyl phthalate
f	female
g	gram
GLP	good laboratory practice
h	hour
kg	kilogram
kPa	kilopascals
L	litre
LC100	absolute lethal concentration
LC50	median lethal concentration
LD50	median lethal dose
LLNA	local lymph node assay
LOAEL	lowest-observed-adverse-effect level
m	male
MCL	mononuclear cell leukaemia
mg	milligram
mL	millilitre
nL	nanolitre
NICNAS	National Industrial Chemicals Notification and Assessment Scheme
NOAEL	no-observed-adverse-effect level
NTP	National Toxicology Program
OECD	Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development
PVC	polyvinyl chloride
SIDS	Screening Information Data Set
SLRL test	sex-linked recessive lethal
w/v	weight per volume
w/w	weight per weight
µg	microgram



# 1. Introduction

This review of diallyl phthalate (DAP) is a health hazard assessment only. For this assessment, an OECD SIDS Initial Assessment Report on DAP (OECD, 2004) was consulted. Information from this report was supplemented with relevant studies from more recent literature surveys conducted up to September 2006.

Information on Australian uses was compiled from data supplied by industry in 2004 and 2006.



References not marked with an asterisk were examined for the purposes of this assessment. References not examined but quoted from the report as secondary citations are also noted in this assessment and marked with an asterisk.

Hazard information from this assessment is published also in the form of a phthalate hazard compendium providing a comparative analysis of key toxicity endpoints for 24 phthalates (NICNAS, 2008).

## 2. Identity

### 2.1 Identification of the substance

CAS Number:	131-17-9
Chemical Name:	1,2-Benzenedicarboxylic acid, di-2-propenyl ester
Common Name:	Diallyl phthalate (DAP)
Molecular Formula:	C <sub>14</sub> H <sub>14</sub> O <sub>4</sub>
Structural Formula:	



Molecular Weight:	246.27
Synonyms:	Allyl phthalate; Phthalic acid, diallyl ester o-Phthalic acid, diallyl ester
Purity/Impurities/Additives:	Purity ≥ 99% w/w

### 2.2 Physico-chemical properties

**Table 1: Summary of physico-chemical properties**

Property	Value
Physical state	Colourless, transparent liquid
Melting point	-70°C
Boiling point	157°C (0.67 kPa)
Density	1120 kg/m <sup>3</sup> at 25°C
Vapour pressure	2.13 × 10 <sup>-5</sup> kPa (25 °C)
Water solubility	0.148 g/L (20 °C, pH 6.9–7.3)
Partition coefficient n-octanol/water (log Kow)	3.23 (20 °C)
Henry's law constant	3.9 × 10 <sup>-5</sup> kPa·m <sup>3</sup> /mole (25 °C)
Flash point	166 °C (closed cup)

Source: OECD (2004)

### 3. Uses

Production of diallyl phthalate (DAP) during 2002 was estimated at 4400 tonnes worldwide. Approximately half of the DAP produced is used as a monomer to form DAP prepolymer (i.e. semi polymerised polymers). DAP prepolymer is used for impregnated paper-decorated particleboard for wall materials or furniture, printing with UV-curable ink, grindstone, coil bobbins and hot stamping foils. DAP is also used as a cross-linking agent in the manufacture of other polymers, such as polyvinyl chloride (PVC) and unsaturated polyesters. These polymers are used in finished products such as window frames, insulating varnish for coil and wire (OECD, 2004).

Diallyl phthalate is used as a plasticiser and carrier for adding catalysts and pigments to polyesters. It is used in electrical parts, laminating compounds (e.g. topcoat for fibreglass laminates), and impregnation of metal castings. Diallyl phthalate is also found in rubber compounds, epoxy formulations, and polyurethane foams (NTP, 1983).

In Australia, DAP is imported for use as a primary plasticiser for electrical insulation materials, flexible fibreglass topcoat laminates, adhesives and injection moulding materials. DAP is distributed also to various institutions and laboratories for analytical, pharmaceutical and biotechnological research.

# 4. Human Health Hazard

## 4.1 Toxicokinetics

### Previous evaluations

Excretion, distribution and pharmacokinetic studies have been performed with rats (Fischer-344) and mice (B6C3F1) using <sup>14</sup>C-diallyl phthalate (DAP), labelled at the 2,3 position of the allyl alcohol moiety (Eigenberg, 1986\*).

In excretion studies, <sup>14</sup>C-DAP was administered by gavage at 1, 10 or 100 mg/kg in rats and mice. Volatile metabolites, urine and faeces were collected for 24 hours. In rats, 25% – 30% of the <sup>14</sup>C-DAP dose was excreted as CO<sub>2</sub>, and 50% – 70% appeared in urine within 24 hours. In mice, 6% – 12% of the dose was excreted as CO<sub>2</sub>, and 80% – 90 % was excreted in the urine within 24 hours.

Tissue distribution and pharmacokinetic studies were conducted in rats and mice following intravenous administration (10 mg) of <sup>14</sup>C-DAP. DAP clearance from the blood was rapid in both rats and mice, with a half-life of approximately 2 minutes. No DAP was found in blood, liver, kidney, muscle, skin or small intestines 30 minutes after dosing.

Metabolites identified in urine (both species) included monoallyl phthalate, 3-hydroxypropylmercapturic acid and allyl alcohol.

### Data not reported in previous evaluations

No data.

### Conclusion

DAP is extensively absorbed via the oral route and rapidly eliminated in rats and mice. Up to 30% of the dose is eliminated from lungs as CO<sub>2</sub>, with the majority (50%-90%) excreted in urine. A number of urinary metabolites have been identified, including 3-hydroxypropyl- mercapturic acid (HPMA) and allyl alcohol (AA). DAP does not accumulate in tissues.

## 4.2 Acute toxicity

### Previous evaluations

Study	Species	Results (LD50/LC50)
Oral	Rat (male)	891 mg/kg bw
Oral	Rat (female)	656 mg/kg bw
Oral	Mouse (male)	1070 mg/kg bw
Oral	Mouse (female)	1690 mg/kg bw
Dermal	Rabbit	3300 mg/kg bw
Inhalation (1-h)	Rat (male)	10.31 mg/L
Inhalation (1-h)	Rat (female)	5.20 mg/L
Inhalation (4-h)	Rat (m & f)	4.47 mg/L (LC100; 100% mortality at Day 1)

Source: OECD (2004)

### **Data not reported in previous evaluations**

No data.

### **Conclusion**

DAP exhibits low acute dermal and inhalation toxicity, with low to moderate acute oral toxicity in rodents.

## **4.3 Irritation**

### **4.3.1 Skin irritation**

#### **Previous evaluations**

Two reliable studies (DAISO, 1998\*; Ethyl Corp., 1979\*) showed little or no skin irritation potential for DAP in rabbits (occluded abraded and intact sites). There were no signs of toxicity or ill health in any rabbit during the observation period (24 and 72 hours after removal of the chemical).

#### **Data not reported in previous evaluations**

No data

#### **Conclusion**

DAP caused minimal to no skin irritation in rabbits.

### **4.3.2 Eye irritation**

#### **Previous evaluations**

DAP was found to be non-irritating to rabbit eyes in three studies, one of which is considered reliable (Ethyl Corp., 1979\*). In this study, 0.1 mL of undiluted DAP was instilled into the right eye of 6 rabbits. The animals were examined after 1 and 4 hours and then daily on days 1, 2, 3, 4 and 7. Examination did not reveal any positive grades of redness or chemosis in any animals.

#### **Data not reported in previous evaluations**

No data.

#### **Conclusion**

DAP did not cause eye irritation in rabbits.

## **4.4 Sensitisation**

#### **Previous evaluations**

One study, a mouse (CBA/CaBkl) local lymph node assay, was available for assessing the skin sensitisation potential of DAP. In this study, 25 µL of DAP solution (acetone/olive oil 4:1 vehicle) was applied to the ear surface of mice at

concentrations of 0, 0.5, 5 and 50% w/v on 3 consecutive days. There were no clinical signs of toxicity during the study. The Stimulation Index was reported as 3.23 at 5% w/v and 10.74 at 50% w/v (DAP Consortium, 2003\*). A Stimulation Index greater than 3.0 indicates a positive result.

### **Data not reported in previous evaluations**

No data.

### **Conclusion**

DAP caused skin sensitisation in mice.

## **4.5 Repeated dose toxicity**

### **Previous evaluations**

Five repeat dose oral toxicity studies were available for assessment with a 13-week NTP rat study (NTP, 1985\*) considered as the key study, being well reported and conducted according to GLP. In this study, Fischer 344 rats (10 animals/sex/dose) were dosed by gavage with DAP at 0, 25, 50, 100, 200 and 400 mg/kg bw/d on 5 days/week for 13 weeks.

At 400 mg/kg bw/d, 8/10 male animals either died during the study or were killed due to a moribund condition. In this group, male body weight gain was decreased 12% relative to vehicle controls. Clinical signs, including diarrhoea, rough hair coat, alopecia, hunched posture and general emaciation, were seen in both sexes at 400 mg/kg bw/d and less frequently at 200 mg/kg bw/d but not at lower doses.

At 400 mg/kg bw/d, gross abnormalities of the liver (enlargement, mottled and pale rough, granular or pitted surface) were observed in all 8 male animals that died early. In these animals, darkened or bright red lungs were also observed. Similar liver abnormalities were observed in the two surviving males and in most females at 400 mg/kg bw/d, and in 5/10 males at 200 mg/kg bw/d. The severity of lesions was dose related and greater in males than in females.

Histopathology indicated the liver to be the primary target organ, with periportal lesions (necrosis, fibrosis) of hepatic lobules and hepatocellular hyperplasia seen in both sexes at 200 and 400 mg/kg bw/d. Necrosis, fibrosis and biliary hyperplasia were not observed below 200 mg/kg bw/d. Hepatocellular alterations (hepatocellular basophilia, cellular and nuclear hypertrophy and hyperchromatism) in the periportal region were observed with decreasing frequency and severity at doses as low as 50 mg/kg bw/d in males and 100 mg/kg bw/d in females. Liver histopathology was not undertaken for the lowest dose group (25 mg/kg bw/d). Acute necrotizing colitis, characterised by loss of surface and glandular epithelium, varying degrees of mucosal and submucosal oedema, and acute inflammatory cell infiltration, was found in 7/8 male rats that died early at 400 mg/kg bw/d. In addition, 3 of these animals exhibited multifocal renal cortical tubular necrosis. Discolouration of kidneys was also observed in females at 400 mg/kg bw/d.

In this study a NOAEL of 50 mg/kg bw/d DAP for females was determined, with a LOAEL of 100 mg/kg bw/d for effects on the liver. The LOAEL for males was 50 mg/kg bw/d based on effects on the liver. A NOAEL for males could not be determined because no liver histopathology was undertaken for the low dose animals.

These NOAELs/LOAELs were consistent with results from another NTP study (14-day) in the same strain of rat. Doses (gavage) in this study ranged from 50 to 600 mg/kg bw/d (NTP, 1985\*).

A NOAEL of 50 mg/kg bw/d was determined (in both sexes) in a 54-day rat (Sprague-Dawley) gavage study (DAP Consortium, 2004\*) carried out at doses of 0, 16.7, 50 and 150 mg/kg bw/d. Effects at 150 mg/kg bw/d included periportal hepatocytic necrosis, enlargement and basophilia, bile duct proliferation and periportal fibrosis and stomach ulceration.

No DAP induced lesions (in any organ) were observed in a 14 day study using B6C3F1 mice, at dosage up to 600 mg/kg/d for up to 13 weeks. Deaths occurred in the 400 and 600 mg/kg/d groups, but not at lower doses (NTP, 1983\*).

In a 13 week study, B6C3F1 mice were administered with DAP at doses of 0, 25, 50, 100, 200 and 400 mg/kg bw/d for 5 days/week. There was no statistically significant change in body weight gain and no DAP-related pathological alterations were observed (NTP, 1983\*).

### **Data not reported in previous evaluations**

No data.

### **Conclusion**

The liver appears to be the primary target organ. In rats, necrosis, fibrosis, hepatocellular hypertrophy and hyperplasia were seen in bile duct and periportal region of the liver. Alterations were also seen in kidney and intestines. These effects in rats were not seen in mice.

The repeated dose oral NOAEL in female rats was 50 mg/kg bw/d with a LOAEL of 100 mg/kg bw/d and the LOAEL for male rats was 50 mg/kg bw/d.

## **4.6 Genetic toxicity**

### **Previous evaluations**

#### ***In vitro studies***

In bacterial reverse mutation studies, two key studies which were well-conducted under GLP were identified (MOL, Japan, 2000\*; FMC Corp, 1986\*). In these two studies DAP was tested for reverse mutation (mutagenicity) in *Salmonella typhimurium* (TA98, TA100, TA1535, TA1537, and TA1538) both with and without metabolic activation, at concentrations of 1.22-5000 µg/plate and 25-6000 µg/plate.

Results indicated a weak positive response in strain TA100 (600 µg/plate and higher) without metabolic activation and negative with metabolic activation. All other strains showed negative responses in all cases. Cytotoxicity was observed from 1000 µg/plate (TA100) with and without exogenous metabolic activation but not the TA100 (FMC Corp, 1986\*).

In a study using *Escherichia coli* (strain WP2uvrA/pKM10) at DAP concentrations of 1.22 to 5000 µg/plate both with and without exogenous metabolic activation, no cytotoxicity was shown over the concentration range tested. A weak positive response was observed at and above 1250 µg/plate with exogenous metabolic activation. No cytotoxicity was noted (MOL, Japan, 2000\*).

Other bacterial mutagenicity tests have been reported as negative both with and without exogenous metabolic activation (Zeiger, 1985\*, FMC Corp, 1977\*).

In contrast to bacterial mutation assays, clear positive responses were observed in each of three mammalian cell assays, particularly in the presence of exogenous metabolic activation, where the toxic effects were reduced and higher dose levels could be achieved.

In mouse lymphoma cells (L5178Y) (Myhr, 1991\*), DAP was mutagenic near 50-75 nL/mL (56-85 µg/mL, 46%-49 % relative total growth) and showed dose-related increases up to 150 nL/mL (168 µg/mL, estimated from relative density = 1.12). At 150 nL/mL DAP induced 6-9 fold increases in mutation with or without metabolic activation. In another experiment, DAP induced chromosomal aberrations and an increase in sister chromatid exchange in Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells with exogenous metabolic activation at the highest dose tested (200-300 µg/mL) (Gulati et al., 1989\*). DAP also induced micronucleus formation (at 20 µg/mL) in Chinese hamster lung (CHL/IU) cells, with exogenous metabolic activation (MOL, Japan, 2002\*).

### ***In vivo studies***

In a sex-linked recessive lethal (reciprocal translocation) (SLRL) test (Valencia, 1985\*), *Drosophila melanogaster* were treated with feed containing DAP at doses of 0, 100 and 140 ppm or injected with 500 ppm of the chemical 24 hours prior to mating with untreated females. Results were negative from both routes of DAP administration.

In a mouse micronucleus assay (Shelby, 1993\*) animals were injected intraperitoneally with DAP at 0, 43.8, 87.5 and 175 mg/kg bw. There were no statistically significant increases in the frequency of micronucleated polychromatic erythrocytes (PCE) in any test group when compared to controls.

In a chromosome aberration test (Shelby, 1995\*), duplicate groups of male mice were injected intraperitoneally with DAP at 0, 75, 150 and 300 mg/kg bw). In the first group there was a small but statistically significant increase in the number of chromosomal aberrations in bone marrow cells at the high dose (7.5±1.18%) when compared to the concurrent vehicle control (3.25±1.25%), whereas the second group produced negative results.

### **Data not reported in previous evaluations**

DAP was negative (prophage induction in *E. coli* B/WP2) in the Microscreen assay, both with and without exogenous metabolic activation (Rossman et al., 1991). DAP was also negative in the *Salmonella* umu test, both with and without exogenous metabolic activation, which measures induction of the *umuDC-lacZ* gene in *Salmonella typhimurium* TA 1535 (Yasunaga et al., 2004).

## Conclusion

In in vitro studies, DAP was weakly mutagenic in one strain of bacteria (strain WP2uvrA/pKM10) in the presence of metabolic activation but was negative in majority bacterial with and without metabolic activation. A clear positive response was observed in a mouse lymphoma assay both with and without metabolic activation. DAP induced chromosomal aberrations in CHO cells both with and without metabolic activation and sister chromatid exchanges in CHO cells with metabolic activation only. In addition, DAP induced micronucleus formation in CHL/IU cells with exogenous metabolic activation.

In in vivo studies, DAP was not mutagenic in either a mouse micronucleus or SLRL test (*Drosophila melanogaster*). Results on DAP induced chromosome aberrations in mouse bone marrow cells were equivocal as effects were only seen at the highest dose in 1 of 2 groups. The result of this study conflicts with the micronucleus study reported by the same author although both study types investigate similar endpoints.

Overall, whilst DAP is mutagenic in vitro with generally negative results seen in in vivo studies, it is not possible to conclude the genotoxic potential of this phthalate.

## 4.7 Carcinogenicity

### Previous evaluations

In an NTP study (NTP, 1985\*), Fischer 344 rats (50 animals/group/sex) were administered DAP (by gavage) at 0, 50 and 100 mg/kg bw/d (5 days/week) for 103 weeks. Survival rates and mean body weights of DAP-treated animals were similar to controls.

DAP administration produced a dose-dependent increase in chronic liver injury. Male and female animals dosed at 100 mg/kg bw/d developed chronic liver disease characterised by periportal fibrosis and accumulation of pigment, and severe bile duct hyperplasia, with pigment accumulation also occurring at the 50 mg/kg bw/d dose in both sexes.

An increased incidence of mononuclear cell leukaemia (MCL) was also seen in female animals at both test doses, which was significantly greater than controls ( $p < 0.05$  by trend tests) in the 100 mg/kg bw/d dose group (vehicle control (15/50, 30%); low dose (15/43, 35%) and high dose (25/49, 51%). No increase in MCL was observed in male animals.

In another NTP study (NTP, 1983\*), B6C3F1 mice (50 animals/group/sex) were administered (by gavage) DAP at doses of 0, 150 and 300 mg/kg bw/d (5 days/week) for 103 weeks. Survival rates and mean body weights of DAP-treated animals were similar to controls. A dose related increase in the development of chronic inflammation and hyperplasia of the forestomach were observed and were considered related to DAP treatment but the data were insufficient to indicate a clear cause and effect.

### Data not reported in previous evaluations

No data.

## **Conclusion**

In chronic studies (103 weeks) in both mice and rats, evidence of DAP induced carcinogenicity was equivocal. A dose-related increase in forestomach hyperplasia and squamous cell papillomas was considered to be treatment related but the data were insufficient to indicate a clear cause and effect. An increase in mononuclear cell leukaemia (only tumour type reported), seen in female rats only, was statistically significant but this tumour type is well known to occur spontaneously with high incidence in the rat strain tested (F344).

## **4.8 Reproductive toxicity**

### **Previous evaluations**

In a single combined reproduction/developmental toxicity screening test, DAP was administered (by gavage) to Sprague-Dawley rats (10 animals/dose/sex) at 0, 16.7, 50 and 150 mg/kg bw/d from 14 days prior to mating to 4 days post-partum (maximum 54 days) (DAP Consortium, 2004\*).

At 150 mg/kg bw/d, 3 female died in extremis, with clinical signs associated with possible dystocia, considered to be due to the marked hepatotoxicity seen in these animals. No mortalities occurred in other test groups. No further details were provided on the diagnosis of the dystocia and it was considered most likely to be associated with the severe maternal toxicity seen at this dose. Statistically significant increases in histopathological findings in the liver (periportal hepatocyte necrosis, enlargement and basophilia, bile duct proliferation and periportal fibrosis) were seen in high dose (150 mg/kg bw/d) animals only. One of the female rats that died in extremis had ulceration of the glandular region of the stomach.

There were no treatment-related effects seen on the fertility of either male or female animals, as shown by the high pregnancy rate in all DAP-treated groups and the lack of significant differences in the distribution of pre-coital intervals for all dose groups. No effects were observed on numbers of corpora lutea, implantations or litter size, although effects on new-borns and live new-borns were not evaluated in the three rats that died or were killed. There were no treatment-related effects on offspring viability, growth or development from conception to early lactation. No macroscopic abnormalities were seen in offspring.

A NOAEL of 50 mg/kg bw/d was established for maternal toxicity with a LOAEL of 150 mg/kg bw/d due to death and liver effects. The NOAEL for reproductive toxicity was 50 mg/kg bw/d.

### **Data not reported in previous evaluations**

No data.

#### **4.8.1 Mode of action**

### **Data not reported in previous evaluations**

In an in vitro oestrogen receptor binding assay for a number of dialkyl phthalates, diallyl phthalate exhibited a relatively high binding affinity for the oestrogen receptor, but was much less potent (several orders of magnitude) than 17 $\beta$ -oestradiol (Nakai et al., 1999).

## **Conclusion**

### ***Effects on fertility***

There were no treatment-related effects seen on fertility in male or female rats in any test group, as evidenced by the high pregnancy rate and lack of histopathological changes seen in the reproductive organs of parental animals. Dystocia occurred at the highest doses and was considered most likely to be associated with the severe maternal toxicity seen at this dose. The NOAEL for reproductive toxicity was 50 mg/kg bw/d.

### ***Developmental effects***

Apart from mortalities in 3/10 females in the high dose group (effects on new-borns and live new-borns were not evaluated in the three rats that dies or were killed), there were no treatment-related effects seen on development in male or female rats in any test group, as evidenced by a lack of effects on offspring viability, growth and development from conception to early lactation. No macroscopic abnormalities were seen in offspring.

## 5. Hazard Characterisation

DAP is extensively absorbed and rapidly eliminated (following ingestion) in rats and mice. The majority (50%-90% dose) is excreted in urine. A number of urinary metabolites have been identified, including monoallyl phthalate, 3-hydroxypropylmercapturic acid (HPMA) and allyl alcohol (AA).

DAP exhibits low acute dermal and inhalation toxicity, with low to moderate acute oral toxicity in rodents.

DAP did not produce either eye or skin irritation in rabbits, but produced a positive response in the mouse local lymph node assay for skin sensitisation.

In repeat dose studies (13-week and 103-week) in F344 rats, the liver was the primary target organ, with pre-neoplastic effects seen in bile duct and periportal regions. Effects were also seen in kidney (cortical tubular necrosis) and intestines (acute necrotizing colitis) in the 13-week study. These effects were not seen in studies of similar dose and duration in mice. A repeated dose oral NOAEL of 50 mg/kg bw/d was determined for female rats in both sub-chronic and chronic studies. No repeat dose dermal or inhalation studies were available for assessment.

In vitro, DAP was weakly mutagenic in two strains of bacteria with and without metabolic activation but negative in most strains tested. Positive responses were reported in a mouse lymphoma (mutation) assay and a chromosomal aberration study in CHO cells, both with and without metabolic activation. DAP induced sister chromatid exchanges in CHO cells and micronucleus formation in CHL/IU cells in the presence of metabolic activation. In vivo, DAP was not mutagenic in either a mouse micronucleus or *Drosophila melanogaster* SLRL test. Results on DAP induced chromosome aberrations in mouse bone marrow cells were equivocal. Overall, while DAP is mutagenic in vitro and generally negative results seen in in vivo studies, it is not possible to conclude the genotoxic potential of this phthalate.

In chronic studies (103 weeks) in both mice and rats, evidence of DAP induced mononuclear cell leukaemia (MCL) seen in female rats. However, MCL is a common neoplasm in Fischer 344 rats and its increased incidence after chronic exposure to some substances is considered to be a strain specific effect (Caldwell 1999). Caldwell (1999) notes that MCL has not been found in other mammalian species and has no histologically comparable tumour type in humans. Therefore, this neoplasm observed in rats is unlikely to be relevant to humans.

The development of chronic inflammation, hyperplasia and papillomas of the forestomach in B6C3F1 mice were considered related to DAP treatment but the data were insufficient to indicate a clear cause and effect (NTP, 1983\*). In addition, this effect may not be relevant for humans, due to the fact that humans do not have a forestomach and the comparative residence time for ingested substances in the human stomach is much lower. It was also reported that a maximally tolerated dose (MTD) for the purposes of carcinogenicity testing might not have been achieved in this study.

The presence of allyl alcohol (AA) as one of the metabolites of DAP, has led to the hypothesis that the liver effects seen with DAP was treatment-related, as AA is a

known potent periportal hepatotoxicant (OECD, 2004). For other phthalates (e.g. DEHP and DINP), liver effects are associated with peroxisome proliferation, however no data were available on potential DAP-induced peroxisome proliferation.

Overall, it was considered that the tumour types seen in animal studies are of limited significance to humans, but the non-neoplastic effects (liver) seen in rats may be relevant.

In a single, combined reproduction/developmental toxicity screening test, DAP was administered by gavage to rats (14 days prior to mating to 4 days post-partum). Liver effects, consistent with those seen in sub-chronic and chronic studies were seen in high dose group (150 mg/kg bw/d). There were no treatment-related effects seen on standard reproductive parameters. The NOAEL for maternal toxicity was considered as 50 mg/kg bw/d due to maternal death at 150 mg/kg bw/d. The NOAEL for reproductive toxicity was 50 mg/kg bw/d.

Page intentionally blank for double-sided printing.

## 6. Human Health Hazard Summary Table

Phthalate	Acute Toxicity	Irritation & Sensitisation	Repeated Dose Toxicity	Genetic Toxicity	Carcinogenicity	Fertility	Developmental Toxicity
Diallyl phthalate (DAP)	<p>Oral Rat: LD50 = 656-891 mg/kg bw</p> <p>Dermal Rabbit: LD50 = 3300 mg/kg bw</p> <p>Inhalation Rat (1 hr): LC50 = 5.20-10.31 mg/L</p>	<p>Skin Irritation: negative</p> <p>Eye Irritation: negative</p> <p>Skin sensitisation: positive (LLNA)</p>	<p>Rat: NOAEL = 50 mg/kg bw/d (f)</p> <p>LOAEL = 100mg/kg bw/d; hepatocellular hypertrophy, renal tubular necrosis (f)</p> <p>High doses: Acute necrotizing colitis, kidney and lung discoloration, hepatocellular hyperplasia, periportal necrosis and fibrosis</p>	<p><i>In vitro</i> Negative in majority bacterial mutation assays</p> <p>Negative in bacterial microscreen assay</p> <p>Positive in chromosome aberrations, sister chromatid exchange and micronuclei assays</p> <p>Positive in mouse lymphoma assay</p> <p><i>In vivo</i> Equivocal in chromosome aberrations assay</p> <p>Negative in mouse micronucleus tests</p> <p>Negative in sex-linked recessive lethal assays</p>	<p><i>Two year dietary study</i> F344 rat: NOAEL = not established</p> <p>LOAEL = 100 mg/kg bw/d; ↑ mononuclear cell leukaemia (MCL) (f)</p> <p><i>Two year dietary study</i> B6C3F1 mouse: ↑ forestomach papillomas (equivocal causality)</p>	<p><i>One generation study</i> Rat: NOAEL= 50 mg/kg bw/d</p> <p>LOAEL = 150 mg/kg bw/d</p>	Insufficient data

f: female; ↑: increase.

Page intentionally blank for double-sided printing.

# References

- Caldwell DJ (1999) Review of mononuclear cell leukemia in F-344 rat bioassays and its significance to human cancer risk: A case study using alkyl phthalates. *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology*, 30: 45–53.
- DAISO Co. (1998) Diallyl *ortho* phthalate: Skin irritation to the rabbit. Huntingdon Life Sciences Ltd., HLS Study No. DSO 1a/950531/SE.
- DAP Consortium (2003) Driscoll, R. Diallyl phthalate: Local lymph node assay in the mouse, SafePharm Laboratories Ltd. Study no. 715/048.
- DAP Consortium (2004) Knox, K, Brooks, PN & Doleman N. Diallyl phthalate: Oral gavage reproduction and developmental toxicity screening study in the rat, SafePharm laboratories Ltd. Study No. 715/055.
- Eigenberg DA, Carter DE, Schram KH, & Sipes IG (1986) Examination of the differential hepatotoxicity of diallyl phthalate in rats and mice. *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology*, 86 (1): 12-21.
- Ethyl Corporation (1979). Skin corrosion test in rabbits using ethyl corporation compound diallyl phthalate (4-hr exposure) (final report) with attachments and cover letter dated 060989, OTS0520458.
- FMC Corporation (1977) DAP monomer mutagenicity screening test Salmonella microsomal assay & activity of C-8013-3 in the Salmonella/microsomal assay for bacterial mutagenicity with cover letter dated 0817989, OTS0521093.
- FMC Corporation (1986) Salmonella/mammalian-microsome plate incorporation mutagenicity assay (Ames test) with cover sheet and letter dated 081789, OTS0521094.
- Gulati DK, Witt K, Anderson B, Zeiger E, & Shelby MD (1989) Chromosome aberration and sister chromatid exchange tests in chinese hamster ovary cells in vitro III, results with 27 chemicals. *Environmental and Molecular Mutagenesis*, 13: 133-193.
- MOL (2000) Mutagenicity test data of existing chemical substances based on the toxicity investigation of the industrial safety and health law. Japan Chemical Industry Ecology-Toxicology and Information Center, Japan, Suppl. 2.
- MOL (2002) Ministry of Labour, Japan. Mutagenicity test data of existing chemical substances based on the toxicity investigation of the Industrial Safety And Health Law. Japan Chemical Industry Ecology- Toxicology And Information Center, Japan, Suppl. 2.
- Myhr BC & Caspary WJ (1991). Chemical mutagenesis at the thymidine kinase locus in L5178Y mouse lymphoma cells, results for 31 coded compounds in the national toxicology program. *Environmental and Molecular Mutagenesis*, 18: 51-83.
- Nakai M, Tabira Y, Asai D, Yakabe Y, Shimyozu T, Noguchi M, Takatsuki M, & Shimohigashi Y (1999) Binding characteristics of dialkyl phthalates for the estrogen receptor. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*, 254:311-4.
- NICNAS (2008) Phthalate hazard compendium: a summary of physicochemical and human health hazard data for 24 *ortho*-phthalate chemicals. Sydney, National Industrial Chemicals Notification and Assessment Scheme.
- NTP (1983) Carcinogenesis bioassay of of diallyl phthalate (CAS No. 131-17-9) in B6C3F1 Mice (gavage study), National Toxicology Program Technical Report, TR 242.

- NTP (1985) Toxicology study and carcinogenesis studies of diallylphthalate (CAS No. 131-17-9) in F344/N rats (gavage studies), National Toxicology Program Technical Report, TR 284.
- OECD (2004) SIDS Initial Assessment Report (draft as updated 23 December 2004).
- Rossmann TG, Molina M, Meyer L, Boone P, Klein CB, Wang Z, Li F, Lin WC, & Kinney PL (1991) Performance of 133 compounds in the lambda prophage induction endpoint of the microscreen assay and a comparison with *S. Typhimurium* mutagenicity and rodent carcinogenicity assays. *Mutation Research*, 260: 349-367.
- Shelby MD & Witt KL (1995) Comparison of results from mouse bone marrow chromosome aberration and micronucleus tests. *Environmental and Molecular Mutagenesis*, 25 (4): 302-13.
- Shelby MD, Erexson GL, Hook GJ, & Tice RR (1993) Evaluation of a three-exposure mouse bone marrow micronucleus protocol, results with 49 chemicals. *Environmental and Molecular Mutagenesis*, 21 (2): 160-79.
- Valencia R, Mason JM, Woodruff RC, & Zimmering S (1985) Chemical mutagenesis testing in *Drosophila* 3, results of 48 coded compounds tested for the national toxicology program. *Environmental Mutagenesis*, 7: 325-348.
- Yasunaga K, Kiyonari A, Oikawa T, Abe N, & Yoshikawa K (2004) Evaluation of the *Salmonella* umu test with 83 NTP chemicals. *Environmental and Molecular Mutagenesis*, 44: 329-345.
- Zeiger E, Haworth S, Mortelmans K, & Speck W (1985) Mutagenicity testing of di (2-ethylhexyl) phthalate and related chemicals in salmonella. *Environmental Mutagenesis*, 7: 213-232.