

NICNAS CRIS Review Online Stakeholder Survey 2010 – CRIS Results

NICNAS is currently reviewing its Cost Recovery Impact Statement (CRIS) that will inform ongoing fees and services provided. As part of the process, NICNAS sought input on the preferred registration, service and fees structures via an online survey. Please refer to the results of the CRIS Review online survey below.

Please highlight you're preferred (1) registration cycle start and end dates) and (2) registration length.

1. Registration cycle (start and end dates)

Answer Options	Response percent	Response count
Current – 1 September to 31 August	32.7%	350
Financial year – 1 July to 30 June	39.9%	427
Calendar year 1 January to 31 December	21.0%	224
I am unable to comment	6.4%	68
	Total answered questioned	1069

N.B. 3 respondents skipped the question

2. Registration length

Answer Options	Response percent	Response count
1 year (current)	52.5%	561
Up to 2 years (i.e. 1 or 2 years)	13.2%	141
Up to 3 years (i.e. 1,2,or3 years)	14.8%	158
Up to 5 years	14.9%	159
I am unable to comment	4.7%	50
	Total answered questioned	1069

N.B 3 respondents skipped the question

3. Please highlight how effective you think the following options would be in encouraging businesses to register on time.

Answer Options	Very ineffective	Ineffective	Effective	Very Effective	Don't know	Response Count
Late payment fees	105	189	374	181	85	934
Reminders	38	69	517	267	48	939
Early bird incentives	55	57	234	541	63	950
Change the registration cycle	69	173	173	73	364	852
Other suggestions (please specify)						42
					Total answered question	974

N.B 98 respondents skipped the question

4. Please indicate whether you support or oppose the following principles in setting fees

Answer Options	Strongly oppose	Oppose	Support	Strongly support	Don't know	Response count
No registration fees for those who import or manufacture	45	137	301	420	47	950
Small registration fee to cover administration only	41	139	445	275	50	950
Fees based on the value of chemicals a business imports	97	179	402	170	92	940
Non refundable screening fees for new chemical	90	187	329	74	260	940
A discount for electronic submission of new chemicals	19	40	474	270	150	940
Automatic indexation of fees and charges	123	241	324	72	183	943
Expansion of registration requirements to include downstream users of chemicals in Australia (e.g. those who do not manufacture or import chemicals directly but use them in blending processes for other products)	187	215	238	97	202	939
					Total answered question	969

N.B.103 respondents skipped the question

5. Please indicate whether you think the following services should be charged as part of a general registration fee (covering all costs and services) or on a fee for service (user pays) basis.

Answer Options	General Registration	Mix registration fee & fee for service	– Fee for service – user pays	Response count
Sector specific reform activities (e.g. cosmetics reform)	253	195	462	910
Confidential listing of AICS requests	263	143	500	906
AICS search assistance	361	130	414	905
Stakeholder training	294	168	448	910
				Total answered question
				925

N.B.147 respondents skipped the question